Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/066,377	GOLOMB ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Mark Eashoo, Ph.D.	1732
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) Mark Eashoo, Ph.D.	(3)	
(2) <u>Anca C. Gheorghiu</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>13 July 2004</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a		
Claims discussed: 1-16		
Prior art documents discussed: n/a		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Claim 14 was improperly dependent upon itself. It was propsed that the dependency be changed to claim 8. Ms. Gheorghiu approved the change by examiner amendment.		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
M. E.L		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Sign	anature – if appropriate)